

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/812,037	Applicant(s) SMITH ET AL
	Examiner Thuy N. Pardo	Art Unit 2168

All Participants:

(1) Thuy N. Pardo

(2) Julie Daniels Missud, Reg. No. 51,330

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) rejections

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 17, 37 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Ukai (6101506) and Kanda (5894333)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized Examiner to do Examiner's Amendment to overcome the prior art

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thuy N. Pardo/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)